## 2017 Minerals Yearbook

## RECYCLING—METALS [ADVANCE RELEASE]

## Recycling-Metals

## By Sheryl A. Singerling and Elizabeth S. Sangine

Survey data and tables were prepared by C. Schuyler Anderson, George M. Bedinger, E. Lee Bray, Raymond I. Eldridge III, Daniel M. Flanagan, Kateryna Klochko, Michele E. McRae, Ruth F. Schulte, Christine L. Thomas, and Candice C. Tuck.

In 2017, the United States recycled 56.6 million metric tons (Mt) of selected metals, an amount equivalent to about $47 \%$ of the apparent supply of those metals, with a total value of $\$ 33$ billion (table 1). Iron and steel accounted for about $89 \%$ of recycled metal and about $88 \%$ of apparent supply. The percentage of apparent supply that was recycled in 2017 ranged from a low of $16 \%$ for zinc to highs of $69 \%$ for lead and $62 \%$ each for magnesium and titanium (table 1). By gross weight, the United States exported 18.7 Mt of scrap metals, with a total value of $\$ 11.1$ billion, and imported 6.21 Mt of these same metals, with a total value of $\$ 4.3$ billion (table 2 ).

Metals are important, reusable resources. Although the ultimate supply of metal is fixed by nature, human ingenuity determines the quantity available for use by developing economical processes to recover metallic elements from the Earth, recycle metal from the use and (or) process stream, and develop efficient uses for those metals. The reusable nature of metals contributes to the sustainability of their use. Recycling, a significant factor in the supply of many of the metals used by society, provides environmental and economic benefits, such as energy savings and reduced volumes of waste.

The term "primary" is used to indicate materials from ore deposits, and the term "secondary" indicates materials from scrap, including used products and residuals from manufacturing. Recycling practices vary substantially among the metal industries. Generally, scrap is categorized as "new" or "old." "New" indicates preconsumer sources, whereas "old" indicates postconsumer sources. New scrap is supplied during the many stages of industrial processing that precede formation of an end product. For example, when metal is converted into shapes-bars, plates, rods, or sheets-new scrap is generated in the form of cuttings, trimmings, and off-specification forms.

When these shapes are converted to parts, additional new scrap may be generated in the form of cuttings, stampings, turnings, and off-specification parts. Similarly, when parts are assembled into products, new scrap may be generated. A wide variety of descriptive terms, many duplicative, including external scrap, home scrap, internal scrap, mill scrap, prompt scrap, and purchased scrap, have evolved to describe scrap generated by diverse industry practices.

Once a product completes its useful life, it becomes postconsumer material, often called old scrap or junk, which is recycled into scrap and reuse material streams. For example, a junked motor might be refurbished for reuse. If it cannot be refurbished, it could be deconstructed to recover its metal constituents, primarily copper and steel. Used appliances, automobiles, and beverage cans are examples of sources of old consumer scrap; used jet engine turbine blades and vanes, junked machinery and ships, and metal recovered from commercial buildings or industrial plants are examples of old industrial scrap. The material flow of recycled metal commodities in the United States has been documented in a series of reports published by the U.S. Geological Survey (Sibley, 2006-11).

Individual annual reviews for each of the metals listed in the tables are included in the respective chapters in this volume of the U.S. Geological Survey Minerals Yearbook, volume I, Metals and Minerals.
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TABLE 1
SALIENT U.S. RECYCLING STATISTICS FOR SELECTED METALS ${ }^{1}$

|  | Quantity of metal (metric tons) |  |  |  | Percent recycled ${ }^{5}$ | Value of metal (thousands) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Recycled from new scrap ${ }^{2}$ | Recycled from old scrap ${ }^{3}$ | Total recycled | Apparent supply ${ }^{4}$ |  | Recycled from new scrap ${ }^{2}$ | Recycled from old scrap ${ }^{3}$ | Total recycled | Apparent supply ${ }^{4}$ |
| Aluminum: ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 1,790,000 | 1,630,000 | 3,410,000 | 6,310,000 | 54 | \$3,710,000 | \$3,380,000 | \$7,090,000 | \$13,100,000 |
| 2014 | 1,870,000 | 1,690,000 | 3,570,000 | 6,940,000 | 51 | 4,310,000 | 3,900,000 | 8,210,000 | 16,000,000 |
| 2015 | 2,000,000 | 1,560,000 | 3,560,000 | 7,310,000 | 49 | 3,900,000 | 3,030,000 | 6,920,000 | 14,200,000 |
| 2016 | 2,010,000 | 1,580,000 | 3,580,000 | 7,100,000 | 50 | 3,560,000 | 2,790,000 | 6,350,000 | 12,600,000 |
| 2017 | 2,050,000 | 1,590,000 | 3,630,000 | 7,740,000 | 47 | 4,430,000 | 3,440,000 | 7,870,000 | 16,800,000 |
| Chromium: ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | NA | NA | 150,000 | 477,000 | 31 | NA | NA | 275,000 | 1,640,000 |
| 2014 | NA | NA | 157,000 | 598,000 | 26 | NA | NA | 308,000 | 2,390,000 |
| 2015 | NA | NA | 154,000 | 463,000 | 33 | NA | NA | 303,000 | 1,650,000 |
| 2016 | NA | NA | 152,000 | 452,000 | 34 | NA | NA | 310,000 | 1,320,000 |
| 2017 | NA | NA | 158,000 | 542,000 | 29 | NA | NA | 494,000 | 2,420,000 |
| Copper: ${ }^{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 630,000 | 166,000 | 797,000 | 2,390,000 | 33 | 4,720,000 | 1,250,000 | 5,970,000 | 17,900,000 |
| 2014 | 672,000 | 173,000 | 845,000 | 2,450,000 | 35 | 4,710,000 | 1,210,000 | 5,930,000 | 17,200,000 |
| 2015 | 640,000 | 166,000 | 806,000 | 2,460,000 | 33 | 3,610,000 | 940,000 | 4,550,000 | 13,900,000 |
| 2016 | 690,000 | $149,000{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 839,000 | 2,570,000 | 33 | 3,420,000 | 740,000 | 4,160,000 | 12,700,000 |
| 2017 | 702,000 | 146,000 | 848,000 | 2,570,000 | 33 | 4,430,000 | 918,000 | 5,350,000 | 16,200,000 |
| Iron and steel: ${ }^{9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | NA | NA | 59,000,000 | 106,000,000 | 56 | NA | NA | 20,100,000 | 36,200,000 |
| 2014 | NA | NA | 58,500,000 | 117,000,000 | 50 | NA | NA | 20,500,000 | 38,900,000 |
| 2015 | NA | NA | 52,500,000 | 106,000,000 | 49 | NA | NA | 11,200,000 | 20,900,000 |
| 2016 | NA | NA | 53,000,000 | 102,000,000 | 52 | NA | NA | 9,770,000 | 19,200,000 |
| 2017 | NA | NA | 50,400,000 | 107,000,000 | 47 | NA | NA | 13,400,000 | 28,500,000 |
| Lead: ${ }^{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 19,200 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,140,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,160,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,440,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | $80^{\text {r }}$ | 46,600 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 3,760,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 3,800,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 3,870,000 |
| 2014 | $16,900{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,010,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,020,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | $1,470,000^{\text {r }}$ | $72{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 39,500 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 2,350,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 2,390,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 3,650,000 |
| 2015 | 16,900 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | $989,000{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,010,000 | $1,410,000{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $74{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $34,000{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,990,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 2,020,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 3,100,000 |
| 2016 | 17,600 | 1,060,000 | 1,070,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,490,000 | $75^{\text {r }}$ | 36,700 | 2,200,000 | 2,230,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 3,100,000 |
| 2017 | 18,500 | 1,080,000 | 1,100,000 | 1,650,000 | 69 | 46,700 | 2,790,000 | 2,840,000 | 4,170,000 |
| Magnesium: ${ }^{11}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 54,300 | 24,900 | 79,200 | 136,000 | 58 | 260,000 | 119,000 | 379,000 | 653,000 |
| 2014 | 56,100 | 25,000 | 81,100 | 148,000 | 55 | 266,000 | 118,000 | 384,000 | 700,000 |
| 2015 | 65,600 | 22,900 | 88,500 | 162,000 | 55 | 311,000 | 108,000 | 419,000 | 766,000 |
| 2016 | 72,800 | 29,400 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 102,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | $169,000{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $60{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $344,000{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $139,000{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $484,000{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $803,000{ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| 2017 | 85,400 | 29,000 | 114,000 | 186,000 | 62 | 405,000 | 138,000 | 542,000 | 881,000 |
| Nickel: ${ }^{12}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | NA | NA | 109,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 220,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | $50^{\text {r }}$ | NA | NA | 1,640,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 3,300,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| 2014 | NA | NA | $115,000{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 264,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | $44^{\text {r }}$ | NA | NA | 1,940,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 4,450,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| 2015 | NA | NA | 108,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 226,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | $48^{\text {r }}$ | NA | NA | 1,270,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 2,670,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| 2016 | NA | NA | $120,000{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $224,000{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $54{ }^{\text {r }}$ | NA | NA | 1,150,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 2,150,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| 2017 | NA | NA | 122,000 | 262,000 | 47 | NA | NA | 1,270,000 | 2,730,000 |
| Tin: ${ }^{13}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 2,150 | 10,600 | 12,700 | 45,100 | 28 | 49,300 | 243,000 | 292,000 | 1,050,000 |
| 2014 | 2,060 | 10,600 | 12,600 | 44,900 | 27 | 46,400 | 238,000 | 285,000 | 1,040,000 |
| 2015 | 1,120 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 10,100 | 11,200 | 43,800 | 26 | 18,700 | 168,000 | 186,000 | 722,000 |
| 2016 | $1,080{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 10,300 | $11,400{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $41,400{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 27 | 20,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 190,000 | 210,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | $766,000{ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| 2017 | 1,400 | 10,000 | 11,400 | 43,900 | 26 | 29,000 | 207,000 | 236,000 | 907,000 |
| Titanium: ${ }^{14}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 39,100 | 1,000 | 40,100 | W | 60 | NA | NA | 210,000 | NA |
| 2014 | 44,300 | 1,000 | 45,300 | W | 63 | NA | NA | 244,000 | NA |
| 2015 | 52,200 | 1,000 | 53,200 | W | 63 | NA | NA | 310,000 | NA |
| 2016 | 55,000 | 1,000 | 56,000 | W | 62 | NA | NA | 295,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | NA |
| 2017 | 62,400 | 1,000 | 63,400 | W | 62 | NA | NA | 317,000 | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

## SALIENT U.S. RECYCLING STATISTICS FOR SELECTED METALS ${ }^{1}$

| Year | Quantity of metal (metric tons) |  |  |  | Percent recycled ${ }^{5}$ | Value of metal (thousands) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Recycled from new scrap ${ }^{2}$ | Recycled from old scrap ${ }^{3}$ | Total recycled | Apparent supply ${ }^{4}$ |  | Recycled from new scrap ${ }^{2}$ | Recycled from old scrap ${ }^{3}$ | Total recycled | Apparent supply ${ }^{4}$ |
| Zinc: ${ }^{15}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 153,000 | 113,000 | 267,000 | 1,070,000 | 25 | \$323,000 | \$238,000 | \$562,000 | \$2,260,000 |
| 2014 | 173,000 | 74,900 | 248,000 | 1,140,000 | 22 | 409,000 | 177,000 | 586,000 | 2,700,000 |
| 2015 | 145,000 | 52,800 | 198,000 | 1,080,000 | 18 | 324,000 | 118,000 | 442,000 | 2,410,000 |
| 2016 | 135,000 | 29,300 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 164,000 | 942,000 | 17 | 415,000 | 89,700 | 504,000 | 2,100,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| 2017 | 132,000 | 29,300 | 161,000 | 975,000 | 16 | 404,000 | 89,800 | 494,000 | 2,990,000 |

${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ Revised. NA Not available. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.
${ }^{1}$ Table includes data available through April 2, 2020. Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.
${ }^{2}$ Scrap that results from the manufacturing process, including metal and alloy production. New scrap of aluminum, copper, lead, tin, and zinc does not include home scrap, which is scrap generated and recycled in the metal-producing plant.
${ }^{3}$ Scrap that results from consumer products.
${ }^{4}$ Apparent supply, calculated on a contained-weight basis, is primary production plus recycled metal plus imports minus exports with adjustments for stock.
${ }^{5}$ Also referred to as recycling rate. Calculated by dividing the total amount recycled by apparent supply.
${ }^{6}$ Quantity is the calculated metallic recovery from purchased new and old aluminum-base scrap. Monetary value is estimated based on the annual average Midwest U.S. Market price for primary aluminum metal ingot.
${ }^{7}$ Quantity is estimated as chromium content of stainless steel scrap receipts, which includes new plus old scrap. Trade data used in the apparent supply calculation include chromite ore, ferrochromium, chromium metal and scrap, a variety of chromium-containing chemicals, and stainless steel mill products and scrap. Monetary value is estimated based on the average import value of high-carbon ferrochromium.
${ }^{8}$ Quantity includes copper recovered from unalloyed and alloyed copper-based scrap as well as from aluminum-, nickel-, and zinc-based scrap. Monetary value is estimated based on the U.S. producers cathode price (COMEX high grade first position plus Platts Metals Week New York dealer cathode premium).
${ }^{9}$ Quantity is the reported recycled scrap from consuming manufacturers. Apparent supply is calculated as shipments of iron and steel products plus castings corrected for imported semifinished products. Monetary value is estimated based on the annual average American Metal Market U.S. composite price for No. 1 heavy-melting. ${ }^{10}$ Monetary value is estimated based on the annual average Platts Metals Week North American price for refined lead.
${ }^{11}$ Quantity includes magnesium content of aluminum-based scrap. Monetary value is estimated based on the annual average Platts Metals Week U.S. Western spot price for magnesium.
${ }^{12}$ Quantity includes nickel recovered from alloys and stainless steel scrap as well as aluminum-, copper-, and nickel-based scrap among others. Monetary value is estimated based on annual average Platts Metals Week London Metal Exchange cash price for nickel.
${ }^{13}$ Apparent supply does not include withheld stock changes. Monetary value is estimated based on the annual average Platts Metals Week composite price (2013) or New York dealer price (2014-16) for tin.
${ }^{14}$ Percentage recycled based on titanium scrap consumed divided by primary sponge and scrap consumption.
${ }^{15}$ Monetary value is estimated based on the annual average Platts Metals Week North American price for Special High-Grade zinc.

TABLE 2
SALIENT U.S. RECYCLING TRADE STATISTICS FOR SELECTED METALS ${ }^{1}$

| Year | Exports |  |  | Imports for consumption |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity |  | Value (thousands) | Quantity |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value }^{2} \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Gross weight (metric tons) | Contained weight (metric tons) |  | Gross weight (metric tons) | Contained weight (metric tons) |  |
| Aluminum: ${ }^{3}$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 1,870,000 | NA | \$3,270,000 | 565,000 | NA | \$848,000 |
| 2014 | 1,720,000 | NA | 2,880,000 | 559,000 | NA | 931,000 |
| 2015 | 1,550,000 | NA | 2,450,000 | 521,000 | NA | 795,000 |
| 2016 | 1,350,000 | NA | 1,880,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 609,000 | NA | 806,000 |
| 2017 | 1,570,000 | NA | 2,330,000 | 700,000 | NA | 1,060,000 |
| Chromium: ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 644,000 | 109,000 | 742,000 | 226,000 | 38,600 | 211,000 |
| 2014 | 548,000 | 93,200 | 674,000 | 329,000 | 56,000 | 427,000 |
| 2015 | 514,000 | 87,500 | 639,000 | 192,000 | 32,800 | 166,000 |
| 2016 | 654,000 | 111,000 | 443,000 | 263,000 | 44,900 | 183,000 |
| 2017 | 488,000 | 83,100 | 426,000 | 283,000 | 48,300 | 282,000 |
| Copper: ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 1,150,000 | 908,000 | 4,070,000 | 106,000 | 84,700 | 521,000 |
| 2014 | 1,040,000 | 829,000 | 3,460,000 | 117,000 | 92,600 | 563,000 |
| 2015 | 954,000 | 769,000 | 2,750,000 | 112,000 | 88,400 | 457,000 |
| 2016 | 944,000 | $758,000{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 2,230,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 125,000 | 98,400 | 459,000 |
| 2017 | 1,000,000 | 826,000 | 2,750,000 | 165,000 | 129,000 | 761,000 |
| Iron and steel: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 18,500,000 | NA | 7,550,000 | 3,930,000 | 3,930,000 | 1,470,000 |
| 2014 | 15,300,000 | NA | 6,150,000 | 4,220,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 4,220,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,710,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| 2015 | 12,800,000 | NA | 4,010,000 | 3,510,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 3,510,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 955,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| 2016 | 12,600,000 | NA | 3,550,000 | 3,860,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 3,860,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 949,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| 2017 | 15,000,000 | NA | 4,860,000 | 4,630,000 | 4,630,000 | 1,490,000 |
| Lead: ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 34,400 | NA | 44,900 | 9,430 | 6,160 | 8,490 |
| 2014 | 36,300 | NA | 51,200 | 12,600 | 7,820 | 14,400 |
| 2015 | 46,600 | NA | 57,500 | 7,560 | 4,950 | 5,780 |
| 2016 | 45,900 | NA | 56,100 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 7,420 | 5,900 | 7,700 |
| 2017 | 57,600 | NA | 80,700 | 9,850 | 6,610 | 9,310 |
| Magnesium: ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 471 | NA | 1,420 | 17,500 | NA | 43,300 |
| 2014 | 923 | NA | 2,460 | 19,000 | NA | 43,800 |
| 2015 | 432 | NA | 895 | 21,300 | NA | 44,300 |
| 2016 | 996 | NA | 2,040 | 21,900 | NA | 50,300 |
| 2017 | 1,200 | NA | 2,270 | 16,900 | NA | 32,900 |
| Nickel: ${ }^{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 669,000 | 61,100 | $852,000{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 245,000 | 26,300 | 359,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| 2014 | 578,000 | 56,300 | $799,000{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 358,000 | 39,000 | 642,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| 2015 | 541,000 | 51,900 | $746,000{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 218,000 | 27,100 | $337,000{ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| 2016 | 683,000 | 63,700 | $541,000{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 288,000 | 32,300 | 325,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| 2017 | 518,000 | 51,500 | 545,000 | 316,000 | 38,100 | 494,000 |
| Tin: ${ }^{9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 5,020 | NA | 17,300 | 63,700 | NA | 23,100 |
| 2014 | 7,480 | NA | 19,600 | 49,700 | NA | 19,400 |
| 2015 | 2,530 | NA | 7,350 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 32,700 | NA | 12,300 |
| 2016 | 4,570 | NA | $11,100{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 27,200 | NA | 5,460 |
| 2017 | 3,460 | NA | 8,530 | 52,100 | NA | 15,800 |
| Titanium: ${ }^{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 4,700 | NA | 21,800 | 12,700 | NA | 63,600 |
| 2014 | 4,600 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | NA | 18,200 | 19,300 | NA | 101,000 |
| 2015 | 6,860 | NA | 25,900 | 22,100 | NA | 124,000 |
| 2016 | 9,720 | NA | 25,600 | 18,500 | NA | 93,600 |
| 2017 | 9,450 | NA | 28,100 | 25,200 | NA | 122,000 |

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE 2-Continued
SALIENT U.S. RECYCLING TRADE STATISTICS FOR SELECTED METALS ${ }^{1}$

| Year | Exports |  |  | Imports for consumption |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity |  | Value <br> (thousands) | Quantity |  | Value ${ }^{2}$ <br> (thousands) |
|  | Gross weight (metric tons) | Contained weight (metric tons) |  | Gross weight (metric tons) | Contained weight (metric tons) |  |
| Zinc: ${ }^{11}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 88,000 | NA | \$105,000 | 21,000 | NA | \$25,300 |
| 2014 | 71,400 | NA | 93,700 | 24,900 | NA | 30,900 |
| 2015 | 55,200 | NA | 68,600 | 18,000 | NA | 20,100 |
| 2016 | 30,100 | NA | 37,800 | 11,300 | NA | 12,800 |
| 2017 | 33,600 | NA | 41,100 | 1,100 | NA | 20,200 |

${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ Revised. NA Not available.
${ }^{1}$ Table includes data available through April 2, 2020. Contained weight equal to gross weight, unless otherwise specified. Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits.
${ }^{2}$ Import value is customs value.
${ }^{3}$ Includes aluminum remelt scrap ingot and aluminum waste and scrap, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS) codes 7601.20.9075, 7602.00.0030, and 7602.00.0090.
${ }^{4}$ Includes stainless steel scrap and chromium metal waste and scrap, HTS codes 7204.21 .000 and 8112.22 .0000 . For HTS code 7204.21 .0000 , the contained weight for imports and exports is $17 \%$ of gross weight; for HTS code 8112.22 .0000 , the contained weight is $100 \%$ of gross weight.
${ }^{5}$ Includes copper waste and scrap. For HTS codes 7404.00.0041, 7404.00.0046, 7404.00.0051, 7404.00.0056, 7404.00.0061, $7404.00 .0066,7404.00 .0075,7404.00 .0085$, and 7404.00 .0095 , the contained weight for exports is estimated to be $65 \%$ of gross weight. For HTS codes $7404.00 .3045,7404.00 .3055,7404.00 .3065,7404.00 .3090,7404.00 .6045,7404.00 .6055,7404.00 .6065$, and 7404.00 .6090 , the contained weight for imports is estimated to be $72 \%$ of gross weight.
${ }^{6}$ Includes waste and scrap obtained from lead-acid batteries, HTS codes 7802.00.0030 and 7802.00.0060.
${ }^{7}$ Includes magnesium waste and scrap, HTS code 8104.20.0000.
${ }^{8}$ Includes nickel waste and scrap. For HTS code 7204.29 .0000 , the contained weight for imports and exports is $0.4 \%$ of gross weight. For HTS code 7503.00 .0000 , the contained weight is $50 \%$ of gross weight. For HTS code 7204.21 .0000 , the contained weight is $7.5 \%$ of gross weight.
${ }^{9}$ Includes tin waste and scrap, HTS code 8002.00.0000.
${ }^{10}$ Includes titanium waste and scrap, HTS code 8108.30.0000.
${ }^{11}$ Includes zinc waste and scrap, HTS code 7902.00.0000.

