The Use of Waterborne-Resistivity Profiling to Quantify Hydraulic Conductivity of 180 Kilometers of Streambed in the Mississippi River Alluvial Plain Benjamin V. Miller¹, David S. Wallace², and Wade H. Kress¹ AGU Fall Meeting, San Francisco, December 2016

Abstract

In June of 2016, the US Geological Survey used waterborne-resistivity profiling to map the shallow (< 10m) subsurface distribution of electrical properties as a proxy for streambed hydraulic conductivity. Twodimensional vertical profiles of resistivity were used to identify differences in geoelectrical structure of the streambed for reaches of the Tallahatchie (60 km), Quiver (50 km), and Sunflower (70 km) Rivers in central Mississippi. Inverse modeling was used to develop two-dimensional (2-D) vertical profiles of resistivity for each stream. Modeled streambed resistivities of the Tallahatchie River were 51 ohm-m higher than the Quiver River and 38 ohm-m higher than the Sunflower River. Differences in streambed lithology can be interpreted from the variation and distribution of resistivity values. Along the Sunflower, resistivity is highly variable, with a standard deviation of 64.6 ohm-m. This is about 49% greater than the variability in resistivity of the Tallahatchie, with a standard deviation of 33 ohm-m, and 25% greater than the Quiver at 49 ohm-m. In regional groundwater-flow models, the hydraulic conductivity of streambed materials is typically an estimated parameter because of difficulty in obtaining a data-supported value in real-world conditions. Modeled resistivities from this work will be used to scale streambed hydraulic conductivity within a regional groundwater-flow model used to assess water-management scenarios. Future studies will continue the application of geophysical methods to improve this model.



Figure 1: Overview map of Mississippi Alluvial Plain, map citation

Setting

The Mississippi Alluvial Plain extends across portions of five states and comprises an area of 141,895 km² (Fig. 1).

- Within the MAP, the Delta region of northwestern Mississippi is an area where land use is dominated by agriculture, primarily row crop production. The region represents one of the most productive agricultural areas in the nation, producing more than \$7 billion in agricultural products in 2012.
- This agriculture is possible through significant irrigation, primarily from groundwater drawn from unconsolidated alluvial aquifers.
 - These sediments, 60-80 meters-thick, represent a long and complex history of sedimentation from the nearby Mississippi River, that have been altered by down cutting and sedimentation from local streams.
- Mapped geomorphological units within the study area include Holocene and Pleistocene-aged sediments deposited by the Figure 2: Waterborne -resistivity survey in-progress on Mississippi River and other smaller streams (Table1) (Saucier, Quiver River, Photo by author 1994).
 - Variations in the extent, thickness, and lithology of these geomorphological units impact surface water-groundwater exchange in the study area, which can have implications for recharge into the alluvial aquifer.

Methods

For this survey a reciprocal Schlumberger array was used, which positions the transmitting pair of electrodes toward the center of the array and the receiving pairs radiating away from the transmitter.

The resistivity of the water in the river was measured at the beginning of each profile and at the end of the last profile each day using a field conductivity meter. Data collected within each river included: latitude, longitude (GPS system), injected current, voltage, resistance, apparent resistivity, electrode location (referenced to the position of the GPS), water depth (echo sounder), water temperature, water conductivity, and calculated water resistivity.



Introduction

- Hydraulic conductivity of streambed materials is typically an estimated parameter in regional groundwater-flow models. The electrical resistivity of earth materials in fresh water aquifers commonly has a positive correlation with hydraulic conductivity.
- In unconsolidated alluvial settings coarser-grained deposits such as gravel and sand typically have higher resistivities compared to silts and clays which have lower resistivities (Ball and others, 2006; Shah and others, 2007).
- Starting in June of 2016, the Mississippi Alluvial Plain (MAP) Regional Water Availability Project used waterborneresistivity profiling to map the shallow (<10m) sub-surface distribution of electrical properties as a proxy for streambed hydraulic conductivity.
- The objective of this analysis is to determine the relationship between results of the waterborne-resistivity survey to general lithologic changes in mapped geomorphological units.

1. Hydrologist, Lower Mississippi-Gulf Water Science Center, U.S. Geological Survey, Nashville, TN, 2. Hydrologist, Texas Water Science Center, U.S. Geological Survey, Fort Worth, TX

sediments near the streambed controlling surface water and groundwater exchange. Table 1: Geomorphic units within the study area, modified from Saucier 1994 Age- Geomorphic Unit **General Description** (Map symbol) Firm to stiff clays, abundant organic matter Holocene- Backswamp (Hb) • Composed of two units, first unit is a sand wedge which forms i Holocene- Abandoned channels of the cutoff. (Hchm) • Second unit is a clay plug described as slightly organic silty clay. • Ranges from stily or sandy clay to silty sand. Holocene -Point bars from Mississippi - Beneath is a coarsening downward sequence of sandy sediment River & small streams (Hpm 2-5, Hps)

meter electrode spacing was used to conduct the survey (Figs 2 & 7).

others (2016).

 Top strata can be very soft to soft organic clays and silts transiti Holocene - Abandoned course of Mississippi River & trunk channel of sandy loams and silty sands. Substratum is well-sorted sands with thin horizontal clay layer. major delta complexes (Hcom)



Overall, resistivity values from waterborne surveys for the Sunflower, Quiver, and Tallahatchie Rivers show major differences that correlate to large scale lithologic changes in each geomorphology unit (Figs. 3-5, Table 2 & 3).

Figure 3: Qualitative estimate of streambed hydraulic conductivity from waterborn resistivity values for surveyed rivers overlain on geomorphology, map citation 2



- less surface water-groundwater exchange.

Figure 4: Detail of resistivity values for Sunflower River with well locations, map

Table 2: Resistivity values for geomorphic units						Table 3: Resistivity values for surveyed rivers										
Geomorphic Unit	Min Res (Ohm-m)	Max Res (Ohm-m)	Mean Res (Ohm-m)	Stan. Dev	# soundings	% of total	River name	Min Res (Ohm-m)	Max Res (Ohm-m)	Mean Res (Ohm-m)	Stan. Dev	# soundings	Thickness - avg (m)	Thickness - max (m)	Thickness ⁻ -min (m)	Thickness - st dev
Hp : Doint Bar	2 27	/00 72	57.45	15 52	20110		Quiver	1.85	491.64	34.06	37.37	9930	1.88	3.2	1	0.32
	2.57	499.72	57.45	45.52	20110	55	Tallahatchie	7.225	498.1	73.58	26.77	13177	3.05	6.2	1.3	0.51
Hcom : Abandoned Course	6.786	467.03	69.13	27.82	6939	19	Sunflower	2.37	499.72	53.36	52.06	13957	1.66	3.9	0.6	0.29
Hb : Backswamp	1.847	491.64	38.97	42.13	8314	23	Abbreviations within Table 2 & 3 include: Min = Minimum Max = Maximum Stan Dev = Standard Deviation Avg = Average									
Hchm : Abandoned Channel	3.583	487.18	49.96	54.43	1433	4										
								IVIIII. – IVIIIIII		. – Maximum	Stan.			Avg Av	crage	

Methods *

Waterborne-resistivity profiling was done using a 10-channel Iris Instruments Syscal Pro resistivity meter. A 60 meter long floating reciprocal Schlumberger array with a 5-

• Geospatial positioning and water depth were collected using a Garmin GPSmap 188 Sounder.

• Stream temperature, conductivity, and specific conductivity were measured using an YSI Professional Plus water quality meter.

• Data processing and visualization was done using Geosoft's Oasis Montaj geophysical software. The raw and processed data are available in Miller and

Apparent resistivity data were modeled using IX1D version 3.52 developed by Interpex Limited (2016)

• Occam's inversion (Constable et al., 1987) was used to create a smooth model for each sounding

• The water column was represented in the model as layer 1. Layers 2-4 (~4m) were analyzed to evaluate the near-surface geoelectrical properties of

	Sedimentary Structures	Grain size	Resistivity Properties
	 Thin silt laminations and frequent burrows 	 Very fine-grained clay 	Low resistivity
n the arms	 Sand wedges are cross-bedded Clay plugs exhibit bedding 	 Fine to medium-grained sand. Fine-grained clay. 	Low to medium resistivity
ts.	 Highly cross-bedded with fine ripples in upper portion Coarser textured trough stratification below. 	 Fine in upper portion, coarser in lower portion. 	Medium to high resistivity
ioning to	 In substratum, large scale migrating sand waves Rip up clasts present throughout 	 Very fine in upper portion. Fine to medium-grains in substrate. 	High resistivity

Results & Discussion

• Sunflower River (Figure 4) : Resistivities were highly varied longitudinally along the main channel.

• The Sunflower meanders through a mix of backswamp, point bar, and abandoned channel deposits, each with their own geophysical signatures (Table 2).

 As the river meandered into the abandoned channel deposits the resistivity values decreased substantially, indicative of an increase in clay content found within the abandoned channels. • As the river meanders out of the abandoned channel deposits, into the increasingly sandier point bar deposits, the resistivity increases from values around 13 ohm-m up to 55 ohm-m.

 Subsurface lithology data collected from the installation of three wells were used to evaluate the waterborne-resistivity surveys with the geomorphology. Along the western bank of the Sunflower River are three wells with documented borehole lithology (Figs. 4 & 6).

- Well 1 has approximately 13.5 m of clay which correlates with the low resistivity feature in the resistivity profile.
- Well 3 has a more sand-dominated lithology, with four meters of silt followed by eight meters of sand ending in clay. Resistivity data near this well show moderate resistivity values in the upper four meters of the profile corresponding to the silts and increased resistivity below representing the increased sand content with depth.

• Quiver River (Figure 3): Generally lower resistivities and less variation, indicative of clay-rich streambed and

• The Quiver flows through backswamp deposits which filled the Yazoo basin in the center of the study area.

• The average resistivities for the northern portion of the Quiver River survey are 30 ohm-m less than the resistivities in the southern portion of the river. This is likely the result of thinning backswamp deposits along the southern edge of the basin.

• Tallahatchie River (Figure 3): Higher resistivity values, compared to other streams, indicate an increase in sand content and more potential for surface water-groundwater exchange and recharge.

• The Tallahatchie flows almost exclusively over abandoned channels (Hcom) as characterized by Saucier (1994).

• Resistivities along the Tallahatchie were typically higher than other surveyed streams resulting from the increased sand content of geomorphic unit Hcom (Table 3).

Table 2. Posistivity values for surveyed rivers

Waterborne-resistivity profiling resistivity of all three rivers is a relatively quick, non-invasive shallow, method to map geoelectrical subsurface properties in the rivers Alluvial River Plain. The resistivity data in this study good correlation mapped features and geomorphological to determine. can be used streambed qualitatively, conductivity. example point abandoned course deposits have relatively higher resistivity values due to the increase in 10 14 17 20 24 28 32 37 42 47 52 58 64 69 74 81 88 99 12 Whereas sand content. Dhm-meters backswamp and abandoned channel deposits which contain substantially more clay have lower resistivity values. Lithologic data from nearby boreholes confirmed the relation between resistivity and lithology at depth. The mapped geomorphological units and resistivity data can be used collectively to design a targeted drilling program to develop a quantitative estimate of streambed hydraulic conductivity from the resistivity data.







Conclusion



Map Citations

1: Base map of United States and Gulf of Mexico from ESRI Online Data. MAP aquifer boundary and stream shapefile from U.S.G.S.. Datum is WGS 1984

2: Geomorphology units modified from Saucier, 1994. Resistivity data from USGS. Datum is UTM Zone 15N NAD 1983.

3: Geomorphology units modified from Saucier, 1994. Resistivity data and well locations from USGS. Datum is UTM Zone 15N NAD 1983

ZYMD

Constable, S.C., Parker, R.L., and Constable, C.G., 1987, Occam's inversion—A practical algorithm for generating smooth models from EM sounding data: Geophysics, v. 52, p. 289–300.

Ball, Lyndsay B.; Kress, Wade H.; Steele, Gregory V.; Cannia, James C.; Andersen, Michael J., 2006. Determination of canal leakage potential using continuous resistivity profiling techniques, Interstate and Tri-State Canals, western Nebraska and eastern Wyoming, 2004. Scientific Investigations Report 2006-5032, vi, 53 p.

Interpex Ltd., 2016, IX1D v 3.52 inversion software: accessed August 1, 2016, at http://www.interpex.com

• Miller, B.V., Wallace, D.S. and Kress, W.H., 2016, Water-borne continuous resistivity profiling data from select streams of the Mississippi Alluvial Plain in northwestern Mississippi: U.S. Geological Survey data release, https://doi.org/10.5066/F7FT8J68. Saucier, R.T., 1994, Geomorphology and Quaternary geologic history of the Lower Mississippi Valley, Volume I: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi, 400 p.

Shah, Sachin D.; Kress, Wade H.; Legchenko, Anatoly, 2007. Application of Surface Geophysical Methods, With Emphasis on Magnetic Resonance Soundings, to Characterize the Hydrostratigraphy of the Brazos River Alluvium Aquifer, College Station, Texas, July 2006 - A Pilot Study. Geological Survey (U.S.) Scientific Investigations Report 2007-5203, vi, 21 p.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge and thank the Delta Council, Delta F.A.R.M., Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality, and Yazoo Water Management District for their support and especially the land owners and farmers in the Delta who allowed access to their property. The authors also thank Shane Stocks from the USGS Jackson, MS office and J. R Rigby with the USDA-Agricultural Research Service for assistance in data collection and local knowledge of the rivers surveyed. The project was conducted through the USGS Water Availability and Use Science Program

http://water.usgs.gov/ogw/gwrp/activities/gw-avail.html#MAP. For more information on the MAP water availability program please visit the project website online